

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/875,154	Applicant(s) ROBERTS ET AL.	
	Examiner Tri H. Phan	Art Unit 2616	

All Participants:

(1) Tri H. Phan.

(2) Kent Daniel (Reg.# 44,206).

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 March 2007

Time: 4:30 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claimed limitations with term "adapted to".

Claims discussed:

Claims 1, 7, 14-16, 22 and 30-31.

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed about term "adapted to" in claimed invention and agreement was reached. Authorization for the examiner's amendment on claims 1, 7, 14-16, 22 and 30-31.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)